1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 12 JEFFREY ALLEN MAHLE, Case No. ED CV 13-1987 JGB (JCG) Petitioner, 13 ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT AND 14 v. ES MAGISTRATE JUDGE AND 15 P.D. BRAZELTON, Warden, PPEALABILITY AND EVIDENTIARY HEARING Respondent. 16 17 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court has reviewed the Petition, the Magistrate 18 Judge's Report and Recommendation, and the remaining record, and has made a de 19 *novo* determination. No objections to the Report and Recommendation have been 20 filed. 21 Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT: 22 1. The Report and Recommendation is approved and accepted; 23 Judgment be entered denying the Petition and dismissing this action with 2. 24 25 prejudice; and 3. The Clerk serve copies of this Order on the parties. 26 Additionally, for the reasons stated in the Report and Recommendation, the 27 Court finds that Petitioner has not made a substantial showing of the denial of a

constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253; Fed. R. App. P. 22(b); Miller-El v. 1 || Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 336 (2003). Thus, the Court declines to issue a certificate of appealability. Nor is Petitioner entitled to an evidentiary hearing. See Cullen v. Pinholster, 131 S. Ct. 1388, 1398 (2011) (AEDPA "requires an examination of the state courtdecision at the time it was made. It follows that the record under review is limited to the record in existence at that same time *i.e.*, the record before the state court."). DATED: October 15, 2015 HON. JESUS G. BERNAL UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE